

Such pressure and heating conditions might occur in interference regions on the lee side of models at angle of attack. However, for certain other test conditions, the error introduced by the pressure effect would be much smaller. Nevertheless, the possibility of large errors caused by the pressure dependence of the color-change paints should always be considered when using this type of coating. In general, the pressure differences between the model and reference sphere should be known and used together with pressure calibrations of the paint.

In Refs. 2 and 3, a different method for obtaining quantitative heat-transfer data with temperature indicating coatings is described. This method uses coatings of pure crystals that undergo clearly visible phase changes at accurately known temperatures that are unaffected by either heating rate or ambient pressure for the range of conditions normally encountered in hypersonic facilities. The isotherms appear as sharply defined lines that progress across the surface of the model as it is heated. These coatings are used, together with theoretical solutions of the transient heat conduction equations [such as Eq. (2)], so that reference bodies are not required. In addition to eliminating the need for tests with reference bodies, the theoretical solutions allow accurate calculation of the magnitude of errors caused by factors such as time required to expose model, error in determining time, error in indicating temperature, etc. This method has been used to measure interference heating effects in the vicinity of protuberances, cavities, and reaction control jets on the Apollo Command Module. Results are believed to be at least as accurate as those obtained from conventional thermocouple models.

References

¹ Kafka, P. G., Gaz, J., and Yee, W. T., "Measurement of aerodynamic heating of wind-tunnel models by means of temperature sensitive paint," *J. Spacecraft Rockets* 2, 475-477 (1965).

² Jones, R. A. and Hunt, J. L., "Use of temperature-sensitive coatings for obtaining quantitative aerodynamic heat-transfer data," *AIAA J.* 2, 1354-1356 (1964).

³ Jones, R. A. and Hunt, J. L., "An improved technique for obtaining quantitative aerodynamic heat-transfer data with surface coating materials," *J. Spacecraft Rockets* 2, 632-634 (1965).

Reply by Author to J. L. Hunt and R. A. Jones

JAMES GAZ*

The Boeing Company, Seattle, Wash.

THE problem mentioned by Hunt and Jones has been investigated at The Boeing Company and some of the results have been communicated to R. A. Jones. This investigation has shown that in cases of practical interest, the pressure effects turn out to be of much smaller significance than the preceding comment would suggest. In particular, the color changes from blue to yellow and yellow to black appear to be unaffected practically by pressures ranging from 0.35 mm Hg to atmospheric. The length of exposure to reduced pressure also was of significance in affecting the pink-to-blue transition. Full details of this research, which was carried out by Sartell and Lorenz, will be published shortly.

Received November 22, 1965.

* Engineer, Turbine Technology.

Techniques for measuring aerodynamic heat transfer by remote observation are still in development, and the crystalline salt method discussed by Jones and Hunt appears to be another significant contribution to this art.

Comment on "Localization of the Gas-Liquid Interface by Capillary Effects"

WILLIAM J. MASICA*

NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio

RECENTLY Young¹ has extended his previous results² on bubble motion in a temperature gradient to the area of liquid propellant control in low Bond number environments. The equation for the adverse acceleration required to dislodge a bubble is obviously in error. From Eq. (1) in the original paper, the adverse acceleration should be given by

$$g' = \frac{3}{2} \langle dT/dZ \rangle \gamma' / (\rho R 981)$$

where 981 cm/sec² is the normal acceleration due to gravity. In the author's example, using the given values for the parameters, the calculated g' becomes $-6.53 \times 10^{-6}g$ rather than $-1.17 \times 10^{-4}g$. Although this difference may be significant, it would appear that these calculations, because of the nature of the solution to the original problem, represent gross estimates in those instances where vapor to liquid ratios are large.

References

¹ Young, N. O., "Localization of the gas-liquid interface by capillary effects," *J. Spacecraft Rockets* 2, 1010 (1965).

² Young, N. O., Goldstein, J. S., and Block, N. J., "The motion of bubbles in a vertical temperature gradient," *J. Fluid Mech.* 6, 350-356 (1959).

Received December 15, 1965.

* Aerospace Technologist.

Reply by Author to W. J. Masica

N. O. YOUNG*

Block Associates, Inc., Cambridge, Mass.

INDEED W. J. Masica and the original article¹ give the correct equations for the poisoning of a free gas bubble stationary within a large container of liquid. This author's purpose was to show by gross estimate that the location of a gas-liquid interface in some circumstances is controlled by temperature gradients. Temperature gradients can cause gradients of surface tension at the interface and set up fluid circulation. A result is that small free bubbles within a tank will tend to move toward the hottest part of the tank. The feature is that the location of free bubbles can be controlled by temperature gradients.

Reference

¹ Young, N. O., Goldstein, J. S., and Block, N. J., "The motion of bubbles in a vertical temperature gradient," *J. Fluid Mech.* 6, 350-356 (1959).

Received January 6, 1966.

* Vice President.